RULES REVIEW COMMISSION July 21, 2011 MINUTES

The Rules Review Commission met on Thursday, July 21, 2011, in the Commission Room at 1711 New Hope Church Road, Raleigh, North Carolina. Commissioners present were: Margaret Currin, Addison Bell, Garth K. Dunklin, George Lucier, Pete Osborne, Bob Rippy, Stephanie Simpson, Ralph Walker and Faylene Whitaker.

Staff members present were: Joe DeLuca and Bobby Bryan, Commission Counsel, and Dana Vojtko, Julie Edwards and Tammara Chalmers

The following people were among those attending the meeting:

Barry Bloch Department of Justice
Julia George Board of Nursing
Linda Burhans Board of Nursing
Jean Stanley Board of Nursing

Julie Woodson NC Association of Realtors

Bob Hamilton ABC Commission
Reneé Batts Community Colleges

David Tuttle Board of Engineers and Surveyors

Jane Gilchrist
Natalie Caviness
Erin Gould
Jack Nichols
Department of Labor
Department of Labor
Department of Labor
Allen, Pinnix & Nichols

Julia Lohman Sheriffs' Education and Standards Commission

Wilson Hayman Health Network Solutions
Vance Kinlaw NC Chiropractic Board

Barbara Geiger Irrigation Contractors Licensing Board Bob Hensley DHHS/Division of Social Services

Charles Wilkins Board of Marriage and Family Therapy Licensure Board

Dedra Alston DHHS/Division of Child Development
Anca Grozav Office of State Budget and Management

Michael Byrne Moore & Van Allen

Felicia Gore Hoover Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission

Carlotta Dixon Division of Social Services

Prior to the scheduled meeting on July 21, 2011, Judge Ralph A. Walker was sworn in by Administrative Law Judge Augustus B. Elkins II.

The meeting was called to order at 1:32 p.m. Judge Walker, as senior member present presided over the meeting. He reminded the Commission members that they have a duty to avoid conflicts of interest and the appearances of conflicts as required by NCGS 138A-15(e).

Chairman Walker recognized former Commissioner Dan McLawhorn.

New Commissioners Addison Bell, Margaret Currin, Garth K. Dunklin, Pete Osborne, Bob Rippy, Stephanie Simpson and Faylene Whitaker were welcomed and introduced by Chairman Walker. He then administered the oath of office to the new Commissioners.

Chairman Walker read into the record the following statements of economic interest for:

Addison Bell, which stated there was no actual conflict of interest;

Margaret Currin, which stated there was no actual conflict of interest;

Garth K. Dunklin, which stated there was no actual conflict of interest. However, there is the potential for a conflict of interest because Mr. Dunklin is an attorney with the law firm of Wishart, Norris, Henninger and Pittman, P.A. Should any employees or partners of the firm, or any of the firm's current or former clients, come before the Commission for official action, Mr. Dunklin should exercise appropriate caution in the performance of his public duties. This would include recusing himself to the extent that those interests would influence or could reasonably appear to influence his actions;

Pete Osborne, which stated there was no actual conflict of interest. However, there is the potential for a conflict of interest because Mr. Osborne owns Osborne Company, Inc., a general contracting company. He should exercise appropriate caution in the performance of his public duties should any issues impacting Osborne Company, Inc., come before the Commission for official action. This would include recusing himself to the extent that those interests would influence or could reasonably appear to influence his actions;

Bob Rippy, which stated there was no actual conflict of interest. However, there is the potential for a conflict of interest because Mr. Rippy owns Wrightsville Farms Management, Inc., a waterpark, amusement, concessions and rides business and is also a member of the Amusement Device Advisory Board. He should exercise appropriate caution in the performance of his public duties should any issues impacting Wrightsville Farms Management, Inc., including the Department of Labor/Amusement Device Advisory Board, come before the Commission for official action. This would include recusing himself to the extent that those interests would influence or could reasonably appear to influence his actions;

Stephanie Simpson, which stated there was no actual conflict of interest. However, there is the potential for a conflict of interest because Ms. Simpson's spouse is an attorney with the law firm of Smith, Anderson, Blount, Dorsett, Mitchell and Jernigan, LLP. Should any employees or partners of the firm, or any of the firm's current or former clients, come before the Commission for official action, she must exercise appropriate caution in the performance of her public duties. This would include recusing herself to the extent that those interests would influence or could reasonably appear to influence her actions;

Faylene Whitaker, which stated there was no actual conflict of interest. However, there is the potential for a conflict of interest because Ms. Whitaker owns Whitaker Farms, a farming business including tobacco, field tomatoes, strawberries, pumpkins as well as trees, shrubs, flowering plants, mulch and stone. Ms. Whitaker should exercise appropriate caution in the

performance of her public duties should issues impacting Whitaker Farms come before the Commission for official action. This would include recusing herself to the extent that those interests would influence or could reasonably appear to influence her actions.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Chairman Walker asked for any discussion, comments, or corrections concerning the minutes of the June 16, 2011 meeting. There were none and the minutes were approved as distributed.

FOLLOW-UP MATTERS

- 13 NCAC 13 .0211 Department of Labor. The Commission approved the rewritten rule submitted by the agency.
- 21 NCAC 10 .0211 Board of Chiropractic Examiners. The Commission approved the rewritten rule submitted by the agency. Wilson Hayman appeared and spoke. He raised no objections to the rewritten rule.
- 21 NCAC 23 .0102, .0401, .0401, .0404, .0406 Irrigation Contractor's Licensing Board. The Commission approved the rewritten rules submitted by the agency. Commissioners Bell, Currin, Lucier, Osborne, Rippy, Simpson and Whitaker voted for the motion to approve the rule. Commissioner Dunklin voted against the motion.
- 21 NCAC 31 .0201, .0501, .0801 Marriage and Family Therapy Licensure Board. No rewritten rule was submitted by the agency and no action was taken.
- 21 NCAC 64 .0307 Board of Examiners for Speech and Language Pathologists and Audiologists. No rewritten rule was submitted by the agency and no action was taken.

LOG OF FILINGS

Chairman Walker presided over the review of the log of permanent rules.

Alcoholic Beverage Control Commission

All rules were approved unanimously.

Child Care Commission

All rules were approved unanimously.

Social Services Commission

All rules were approved unanimously with the following exceptions:

10A NCAC 70G .0403 – The Commission objected to this rule based on lack of statutory authority. Subparagraph (e)(1) is not consistent with G.S. 131D-10.3(h)(2) as written. The rule is a complete prohibition on certain applicants being licensed while the statute only prohibits licensure for a certain time period. Since the General Assembly has set the standard, there is no authority cited for the agency to change it. Similarly, Subparagraph (e)(2) is not consistent with G.S. 131D-10.3(h)(1) by making an absolute prohibition beyond 60 months. There is the same issue in Subparagraph (e)(4).

10A NCAC 70G .0503 – This rule was withdrawn by the agency.

10A NCAC 70H .0114 – The Commission objected to this rule based on lack of statutory authority. Subparagraph (e)(1) is not consistent with G.S. 131D-10.3(h)(2) as written. The rule is a complete prohibition on certain applicants being licensed while the statute only prohibits licensure for a certain time period. Since the General Assembly has set the standard, there is no authority cited for the agency to change it. Similarly, Subparagraph (e)(2) is not consistent with G.S. 131D-10.3(h)(1) by making an absolute prohibition beyond 60 months. There is the same issue in Subparagraph (e)(4). Similarly, Subparagraph (e)(2) is not consistent with G.S. 131D-10.3(h)(1) by making an absolute prohibition beyond 60 months. There is the same issue in (e)(4).

10A NCAC 70J .0106 – The Commission objected to this rule based on lack of ambiguity. In (a)(1)(B) and (C), it is not clear how much space is required between the sides of beds. Part (B) seems to say that the sides of beds must be three feet apart while Part (C) only requires that they be 30 inches apart. It is not clear which is the requirement. This objection applies to existing language in the rule.

Sheriffs Education and Training Standards Commission

All rules were approved unanimously.

Board of Massage and Bodywork Therapy

21 NCAC 30 .0624 -

Charles Wilkins Representing the Board addressed the Commission.

The Commission voted in favor of Commissioner Bell's motion to extend the period of review for this rule. It extended the period of review to allow Mr. Wilkins and Commission Counsel Deluca to consult and see if there could be some agreement either as to the authority for the rule or to develop satisfactory language for this rule.

Commissioner Osborne was not present during this vote.

Board of Nursing

All rules were approved unanimously.

Commissioner Dunklin was not present during this vote.

Board of Examiners for Engineers and Surveyors

All rules were approved unanimously with the following exceptions:

David Tuttle representing the Board addressed the Commission.

21 NCAC 56 .0701 – The Commission objected to this Rule based on ambiguity. In (e)(5) page 3 line 8 and (e)(6) line 10 it is not clear what is included in the term "licensee's organization." It is not clear whether this is restricted to the business entity under which the licensee is engaging

in the practice of engineering or surveying or whether it expands to include professional societies, boards or memberships.

21 NCAC 56 .1602 – The Commission voted to extend the period of review for this rule. The Commission did this to give Mr. Tuttle an opportunity to explore with his surveyor board members acceptable language which could define in (a) line 4 what is meant or required by the necessity for a licensee "to make adequate investigation" or "to determine." This was prompted by Mr. DeLuca's earlier technical change request to delete or define "adequate." It would seem that an "investigation to determine if there are encroachments, gaps, lappages, or other irregularities along each line surveyed" is the definition of "adequate" and makes that word unnecessary. It is unclear what that word adds to the requirements and appears to make the rule ambiguous. The same issue applies in line 6 in regards to what constitutes a "nearby" closed or verified traverse. By requiring that the points be from a "nearby" closed or verified traverse, there is an implication that some point may be too far away to be used. If that is the case then there needs to be a definition of "nearby" or a listing of the standards that are to be used to make that determination.

TEMPORARY RULES

There were no temporary rules filed for review.

COMMISSION PROCEDURES AND OTHER BUSINESS

The Commissioners discussed changing the start time of next month's meeting to 10:00 a.m. The out of town commissioners seemed to agree that they would be travelling to the meeting the morning of the meeting and would prefer the later start time. Ms Simpson agreed that she too would prefer the later start time. The Commissioners seemed to agree that they would likely make the change permanent.

The Commissioners discussed voting to elect new officers at next month's meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 3:55 p.m.

The next scheduled meeting of the Commission is Thursday, August 18 at 10:00 a.m.

2110 110110 00110 00110 001111 0011111 1011111	
Respectfully Submitted,	
Julie Edwards Editorial Assistant	
Minutes approved by the Rules Review Commissi	ion.
Judge Ralph A. Walker/Chair	
Date	